



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/653,908 09/01/2000		Sam Khavari	P3938	6546
24739	7590 05/26/2004		EXAMINER	
CENTRAL COAST PATENT AGENCY			BLACKWELL, JAMES H	
PO BOX 187 AROMAS, CA 95004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2176	
			DATE MAILED: 05/26/2004	, 6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Peq			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	09/653,908	KHAVARI ET AL.			
Office Action Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAII INC DATE of this communication and	James H Blackwell	2176			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Ma	arch 2004.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 September 2000</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objecdrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Seeon on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2176

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to amendment received 03/19/04.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, and 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Safonov et al. (hereinafter Safonov, "Towards Web Macros: a Model and a Prototype System for Automating Common Tasks on the Web", Univ. of Minnesota, Copyright 06/03/1999).

In regard to independent Claim 1, Safonov teaches a recorder component that parses the HTTP request and replies and writes navigation actions to the interaction database (p. 9; compare with Claim 1, "... a session recording module for recording parameters associated with a manual navigation sequence"). Safonov also teaches a script generator then reads interaction data from the database and attempts to generate a WebL script that will, when executed, retrieve the desired document (p. 9; compare with Claim 1, "... a file creation module for converting data of a manual session into data comprising an executable sequence of instructions for conducting an automated navigation sequence"). Safonov does not explicitly teach an application-program-interface module for integrating a functional capability with the automated navigation sequence, characterized in that a completely automated,

Art Unit: 2176

browser-navigation sequence performed by the browser application is enabled through execution of the executable instruction sequence created from the recorded parameters of the manual navigation sequence. However, Safonov does teach that the macros are written as scripts using a scripting language called WebL as well as a WebL interpreter to run the scripts. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use the WebL scripting language as an API providing the benefit of a means for processing documents on the World Wide Web.

In regard to dependent Claims 2 and 3, Safonov teaches Web Macros which are programs that emulate user interaction with the Web (p. 4). By definition, the Web is a part of the Internet designed to allow easier navigation of the network through the use of graphical user interfaces and hypertext links between different addresses. Compare to Claim 2, "... the automated browser-navigation sequence is executed to run on a data-packet-network" and Claim 3, "... the data-packet-network is the Internet network").

In regard to dependent Claim 4, Safonov does not teach the file-creation module includes a function for creating an executable icon for launching the automated browser-navigation sequence. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to create an executable icon because it is common practice in a graphical user interface environment to identify applications with an icon providing the benefit of easier launching of applications.

In regard to independent Claim 13, Safonov teaches Web Macros that are programs that emulate user interaction with the Web. They can navigate on the Web,

Art Unit: 2176

are authored implicitly by observing user actions, and are validated against results retrieved by the user (p. 4; compare to Claim 13, "A method for creating an executable instruction file for performing an automated navigation sequence on a data-packet-network using a browser application comprising steps of:"). Safonov also teaches that a user would navigate to a special URL with a browser and enter the name of the new macro. A database contains the information recorded during the navigation session (infers that there must be start and stop recording functions). The script generator then reads interaction data from the database and attempts to generate a WebL[KM98] script that will, when executed, retrieve the desired document (p. 9; compare to Claim 13, "... (a) invoking a browser application and connecting to the network; (b) invoking and activating a session-recording module for recording a manual navigation sequence; (c) performing a desired manual navigation sequence, the sequence recorded by the recording function; (d) activating a stoprecord function to define the end of the manual sequence; and (e) converting the recorded manual sequence into executable instruction enabling the automated sequence, the conversion performed by software").

In regard to dependent Claim 14, Safonov teaches Web Macros which are programs that emulate user interaction with the Web (p. 4). By definition, the Web is a part of the Internet designed to allow easier navigation of the network through the use of graphical user interfaces and hypertext links between different addresses. Compare to Claim 14, "... in step (a), the data-packet-network is the Internet network").

Art Unit: 2176

In regard to dependent Claim 15, Safonov teaches that a user would navigate to a special URL with a browser and enter the name of the new macro. A database contains the information recorded during the navigation session (infers that there must be start and stop recording functions). The script generator then reads interaction data from the database and attempts to generate a WebL[KM98] script that will, when executed, retrieve the desired document (p. 9; compare to Claim 15, "... in step (e) the software converting data from the recorded session into the executable instruction prompts a user to name the executable instruction and to name an icon created and associated with instruction"). Safonov does not explicitly teach that an icon is named. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to assume that, especially in a GUI-based operating system, that an icon either would have been generated to represent the file created, or that one could have been generated by commands to the operating system providing the benefit of easier launching of an application.

In regard to dependent Claim 16, Safonov teaches that Web macros could be written explicitly by the user (p. 5). Safonov also teaches that the process of script creation and validation is interactive (p. 9). Both of these operations suggest that one could edit either the raw recorded information, or the macro to add or remove information. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to add services, including proxy services to the executable instruction providing the benefit of enhancing a user's automated navigation to hard-to-reach web pages.

Art Unit: 2176

Claims 5-12, and 17-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Safonov in view of Anupam et al. (hereinafter Anupam, "Automating Web Navigation with the WebVCR", Bell Labs, Copyright 03/01/2000).

In regard to dependent Claim 5, Safonov fails to teach that *the executable* sequence of instructions are XML instructions. However, Anupam teaches smart bookmarks which are shortcuts to Web content that require multiple browsing steps to be retrieved---- they may be saved in bookmark lists, or mailed to others like any other bookmark (p. 2, 2nd paragraph). Fig. 7 contains a listing of a smart bookmark containing the steps used to login at http://www.travelocity.com. Though not called XML by Anupam, the smart bookmark does contain open and close tags and a hierarchical structure that are similar to XML (p. 13). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine the teachings of Safonov and Anupam providing the benefit of a standardized, structured, and hierarchical language for describing a web navigation session.

In regard to dependent Claim 6, Safonov teaches that Web Macros must emulate user actions: retrieve documents, and fill out and submit forms. Web Macros must be able to extract hyperlinks from the HTML content and follow them (p. 5, 1st paragraph; compare with Claim 6, "... the automated-navigation sequence enables automation of one or more of form-application, data-downloading, media-interaction, data-searching, and hyper-linking").

Art Unit: 2176

In regard to dependent Claims 7 and 8, Safonov does not specifically teach that the application is implemented as a browser plug-in containing a user-configuration tool or as a standalone program containing a user-configuration tool. However, Anupam teaches that WebVCR can be implemented as either a client-based or a server-based process. In the case of a client-based process, WebVCR exists as a downloadable Java applet used in conjunction with the user's browser to record and replay smart bookmarks. The applet can be installed on the end-user's desktop, or downloaded whenever required from a web site hosting the applet (pp. 5-6). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine the teachings of Safonov and Anupam providing the benefit of client-based, automated web navigation.

In regard to dependent Claim 9, Safonov teaches that given a suitable programming language, Web Macros could be written explicitly by the user (p. 5; compare with Claim 9, "... the automated navigation-sequence is created as a result of manual user programming as an alternative option to recording a manual sequence").

In regard to dependent Claim 10, Safonov teaches a prototype system for creation, validation, and playback of scripts implementing a subset of functionality planned for Web Macros. The Web Macros prototype is designed as a HTTP proxy that sits between the Web and the Browser (pp. 8-9; compare to Claim 10, "... the automated navigation-sequence includes an embedded request to one or more

Art Unit: 2176

proxy services to be performed by a service provider operating on and accessible via the Internet network").

In regard to dependent Claims 11 and 12, Safonov does not specifically teach that the embedded request is automatically sent to the service provider during execution and performance of an automated navigation sequence or that the embedded request is received by virtue of an opened communication channel established between communicating navigation applications while the sending application is performing an automated navigation sequence. However, Anupam teaches that many sites offer affiliate programs, where they give third-party sites commissions from sales originated in those sites (see e.g., [2]). For instance, currently, a recipe site can put a link to a merchant site selling ingredients used in the recipe or to a product on that site which is needed in the recipe. In the latter case, the user clicks on the product link and then makes a second click on the resulting page at the merchant site to add the item to the shopping cart. However, affiliate programs cannot make it really simple to order all the items in the recipe unless the merchant site has already produced such a bundle. With WebVCR, staff of the affiliate programs can produce a smart bookmark that will load a user's shopping cart with exactly the right items for the recipe from the merchant's site (p. 10, 3rd paragraph). The point is, that during recording, such third party advertisements would be recorded and during replay would again present themselves to the user. This interchange would take place over an opened communication channel established between communicating navigation applications (browser and web server communicating over a network such as the Internet). Therefore, it would have been

Art Unit: 2176

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine the teachings of Safonov and Anupam providing the benefit of improving merchant sales.

In regard to dependent Claim 17, Safonov does not specifically teach that the executable instruction is an XML template. However, Anupam teaches smart bookmarks which are shortcuts to Web content that require multiple browsing steps to be retrieved---- they may be saved in bookmark lists, or mailed to others like any other bookmark (p. 2, 2nd paragraph). Figure 7, shows the listing of a smart bookmark containing the steps used to login at http://www.travelocity.com. Though not called XML by Anupam, the smart bookmark contains open and close tags and a hierarchical structure that are similar to XML (p. 13). "... the executable instruction is an XML template"). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine the teachings of Safonov and Anupam providing the benefit of a standardized, structured, and hierarchical language for describing a web navigation session.

In regard to dependent Claims 18 and 19, Safonov teaches, with reference to his second scenario, that Web Macros must be available to a user different from the one who authored them. Two types of user-specific information need to be factored out: Private information, such as name, address, and credit card number. These parameters should never be left unchanged when a macro is passed to another user. They must be substituted with data coming from the recipient user's profile; if these are not available, the system would prompt the user for relevant data. Non-private information. Examples of this are home airport for air travel reservations; while this type

Application/Control Number: 09/653,908 Page 10

Art Unit: 2176

of data can be left unchanged when a macro is passed to another user, intelligently substituting it can increase the usability of Web Macros (p.6; compare to Claim 18, "... the executable instruction contains data personal to the user" and Claim 19, "... the personal data includes one or a combination of user names, passwords, credit card numbers, user location information, and Social Security information").

In regard to dependent Claim 20, Safonov does not specifically teach that the personal data remains encrypted until use. However, Anupam teaches that there are different modes for storing user-specified information in smart bookmarks. For instance, the user is able to specify that password fields (e.g., Figure 1(b)) are either prompted for when needed during replay, or are stored encrypted in the smart bookmark, whereas fields like the origin and destination of flight (Figure 1(c)) can often be stored in plain text. Accordingly, each attribute has the one of the following properties to guide the WebVCR during playback: prompt (ask the user for the attribute value); stored (use the value that is stored in plain text); encrypted (use the value that is stored encrypted; the encryption key can be entered once for each WebVCR session) (p. 12, Sec 4.2; compare to Claim 20, "... the personal data remains encrypted until use"). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine the teachings of Safonov and Anupam providing the benefit of safeguarding personal information from view by others.

In regard to dependent Claim 21, Safonov does not specifically teach that the personal data is stored in a secure location and accessed by virtue of a pointer to the information, the pointer embedded in the instruction file. However, it would have been

Art Unit: 2176

Page 11

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to store encrypted information separate from the document providing the benefit of having prevented unauthorized use of actual encrypted data.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to Claims 1-21 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2176

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James H Blackwell whose telephone number is 703-305-0940. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph H Feild can be reached on 703-305-9792. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James H. Blackwell 05/20/04

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 12